IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3209 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3210 OF 2005

R.K.Agrahari. ..Applicant.

V/s.

M/s.Induslnd Enterprises &
Finance Ltd.& Ors. ..Respondents.

Mr.Pankaj Kansaya for applicant.

Mr.Rajesh More, APP for State in Cr.Appln.No.3209/05.

Mrs.M.H.Mhatre, APP for State in Cr.Appln.No.3210/05.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J
DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the applicant by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decisions of this Court in the cases of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778** and in the unreported Judgment in the case of **Chetan R. Nagda & Ors. V/s. N.D.Pawar & Ors. in Cri.W.P. No.1023 of 2005 dated 8th December, 2005,** no interference is warranted.

3. These applications are disposed of with

liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. The applicant to file such Revision Application within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the applicant. Revision Application, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is delay in filing Revision Application, Court will take into account the fact that the applicant had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Applications disposed of on the above terms.